



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,682	04/15/2005	Udo Heselhaus	BU-08PCT	2230
40570	7590	06/07/2010		
FRIEDRICH KUEFFNER 317 MADISON AVENUE, SUITE 910 NEW YORK, NY 10017			EXAMINER BLACK, MELISSA ANN	
			ART UNIT 3612	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/07/2010	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/531,682

Applicant(s)

HESELHAUS, UDO

Examiner

MELISSA A. BLACK

Art Unit

3612

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3-6 and 8-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/CD)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: ____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to RCE April 23, 2010. Claims 1 and 3-12 are pending in the application. Claims are rejected as set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1, 4-6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 19926474 to Minatti in view of US Pat # 6,095,589 to Kinnanen et al.

Minatti discloses a motor vehicle with a roof (1, 13, 12) having at least one essentially horizontally extending movable roof part (13) configured to be fully opened and to be fully moved to achieve this full opening, wherein the movable roof part extends from an area adjacent to a windshield (g) frame (5) to a rear roof part (12), wherein the movable roof part (13) is separated from the rear roof part (12), and wherein the movable roof part (13) occupies the entire width between upper edges of side windows (8) of the motor vehicle (See Figure 8). Re Claims 4 and 9, Minatti discloses (Figure 8), a flexible covering for the movable roof part and the rear roof part covers a rigid roll bar (6). Minatti discloses that the rear roof part can be lowered below a belt line (see figures 6 and 7). Re Claim 5, Minatti discloses to allow the raisable rear section (12) to be raised, a roof bow is provided which grips beneath the rear section and is displaceably mounted on lateral frame parts of the movable roof part (1, 13)(See Figure 8). Re Claim 6, it would be inherent that Minatti would have a remotely controlled drive for displacement of the rear bow and roof mechanism. Re Claims 10-12, Minatti discloses the rear roof part (12) is at least partially movable to create an opening for the passage of the fully

movable roof part (1, 13) to allow the latter to be opened (Figure 8), wherein the rear roof part (12) is swung open about a rear axis to create an opening for the passage of the roof part (1, 13) and is swung closed again over the opened roof part, wherein the opening for the passage of the movable roof part (1, 13) is located between the rigid roll bar (6) and the opened rear roof part (12).

Re Claim 1, Minatti fails to disclose wherein the movable roof part comprises a front section and a raisable rear section connected to the front section so as to form a continuous structural unit, wherein the rear section is raisable in such a way that section of the movable roof part located in front of the raisable rear section remains in a closed position.

Kinnanen et al teaches a movable roof, wherein the movable roof part (5) comprises a front section and a raisable rear section connected to the front section so as to form a continuous structural unit, wherein the rear section (7) is raisable in such a way that section of the movable roof part (5) located in front of the raisable rear section (7) remains in a closed position (see figure 2).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to use a raisable rear bow mechanism as taught by Kinnanen et al on the device of Minatti in order to vent the rear roof part.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 19926474 to Minatti as modified by US Pat # 6,095,589 to Kinnanen et al in view of either US Pat # 6,267,433 to Bayer et al or US Pat # 4,644,235 to Ohta.

Minatti as modified fails to disclose that the roof is moveable while the vehicle is traveling.

Bayer et al teaches a roof that open during traveling, and further teaches that the roof can be lifting (Column 3, lines 40-49).

Or, Ohta teaches a roof that is moveable during travel (see columns 3 lines 16-27, and 4 lines 55-60).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the roof open while traveling as taught by Bayer et al or Ohta on the device of Minatti in order to not have to stop the vehicle to open or close the roof.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Pat # 5,582,454 and 5,042,868 both show raisable rear sections of movable roof parts.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELISSA A. BLACK whose telephone number is (571)272-4737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-3:30 ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/GLENN DAYOAN/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit
3612

/M. A. B./
Examiner, Art Unit 3612